3rd March 1927]

[Mr. K. V. R. Swami]

into power. The Justice Party had a majority of seats in the first three years and they were then in power. But during the second period of three years they were in power with the assistance of the Government votes . . ."

Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Navar:—"Mr. President, Sir, in the budget of this year the Cauvery-Mettur project looms very large. This project has been before us for several years now and I should like to sound a note of warning regarding the way in which the expenditure on that project is being incurred without an irrigation law. Enormous expenditure is being incurred on that project. The staff consists of one Chief Engineer in charge of the whole operations, two Superintending Engineers, four Executive Engineers, one Electrical Engineer—all these on high pay—one Collector, eight Deputy Collectors and so on. Already large expenditure has been incurred. His Excellency the Governor was saying the other day that 37 miles of road had been completed and quarters for a gang of five thousand coolies had been built. In the budget of the current year the amount budgeted runs to one crore and though the whole amount is not likely to be spent, as much as 54 lakhs of rupees has been spent. For the coming year 1927-28 they have budgeted for an expenditure of Rs. 120 lakhs. And now the question is whether it will be safe to spend all this amount on this project or for the matter of that on any other big irrigation work without an irrigation law. Hon. Members will remember that the detailed estimate for this project runs to six crores and 12 lakhs of rupees. The chances are, as we know in all matters like this, that this estimate will be considerably increased by the time the work is over. When my hon. Friend the Law Member who is a very cautious and learned lawyer introduced the Irrigation Bill in this Council on the 6th February 1924 the burden of his song as I take it rightly, was that without an irrigation law, it would not be possible to spend large sums of money on such projects and he referred to the Mettur project among them in particular. He stated that on former occasions in 1909 and in previous years when this Government approached the Government of India for loans to carry out irrigation projects the Government of India refused the loan on the solitary ground that it was unsafe to carry on irrigation projects like that without an irrigation law and if they did so they would be involved in embarassing litigation. Again, the Law Member stated that this Government approached the Government of India with a similar request on another occasion and the same reply was given to them. Again the hon, the Law Member stated that he himself went in person, as we all know he did, and had personal consultation with the Viceroy and with the Members of the Government of India. Even then he had the same answer, namely, that without an irrigation law the Government of India would not be prepared to grant a loan. This he stated on 6th February 1924 in introducing the Bill. When the financial year was about to close in 1924 he stated that even though it would not be possible to pass the Bill before the close of the financial year, if a Bill was introduced and the principles thereof were accepted in this Council, the Government would approach the Government of India and tell them that this Council had committed itself to an irrigation law and induce them to grant the necessary loan. It was on that undertaking that we consented to the first reading of the Irrigation Bill in 1924.

"That was so long ago as the beginning of 1924. The Irrigation Bill 1 p.m. was accepted by this Council; it was referred to a Select Committee; the

- A.-(a) No.
 - (b) The question does not arise.
- Mr. J. A. Saldanha:—"I want to know whether Government can really say that they have not received a memorial. I am positively sure that a memorial was sent more than a month ago."

The hon, the President:—"The hon. Member need not furnish information. But he has only to put his supplementary question."

- Mr. J. A. Saldanha:—"I want to know whether Government is positively sure that no memorial was received."
 - The hon. C. P. Ramaswami Ayyar:—"As will be evident from the question itself, I am in charge of railways and my hon. Colleague Dr. Subbarayan is in charge of carriage roads. Therefore, both our departments have looked into the matter, and found that no memorial has been received. That is all I can say."

Constitution of districts, divisions and taluks

Headquarters for West Godavari district.

- * 223 Q.—Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR: Will the hon, the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—
- (a) whether the question of fixing the headquarters for the West Godavari district has been finally settled; if not, what are the places now under consideration and when is the question to be finally settled; and
- (b) whether the chief official opinion and the general public opinion are in favour of Ellore or Nidadavole?
 - A.—(a) The Government have decided that Ellore should be the head-quarters of the district and have issued orders accordingly in G.O. No. 704, Revenue, dated 3rd May 1926, which has been placed on the Editors' Table.
 - (b) The majority of the local officers consulted were in favour of Nidadavole and the general public opinion as reflected by the vote on the resolution moved in the Legislative Council in August 1925 was in favour of Ellore.
- Mr. P. C. Venkatapati Raju:—"With reference to the answer to clause (b), is the hon, the Revenue Member aware that the majority of the people consulted in the matter voted in favour of Nidadavole?"
 - The hon. Mr. N. E. Marjoribanks:—"If the hon. Member says so, I accept it, Sir." (Laughter.)
- Mr. P. C. Venkatapati Raju:—"Is the hon, the Revenue Member aware that the relative importance of the two places, Nidadavole and Ellore, were not discussed in this Council?"
 - The hon. Mr. N. E. Marjoribanks:—"There again, I am prepared to accept the hon. Member's assurance, Sir."
- Mr. P. C. Venkatapati Rajo:—"Is the hon, the Revenue Member aware that it is only very few members, including even those who were not